MOLECULAR VIROLOGY Was the 1918 flu avian in origin?

Arising from: J. K. Taubenberger et al. Nature 437, 889-893 (2005)

Taubenberger *et al.*¹ claim that the 1918 influenza virus was derived from an avian source and adapted to humans shortly before the pandemic. However, we do not believe that this conclusion, which has been widely disseminated in the popular press and in scientific journals^{2–4}, is supported by their phylogenetic evidence.

The authors' conclusion is based on DNA sequences from three RNA-polymerase genes, each of which resides on a separate RNA segment of the viral genome. The nucleotide phylogenies that they present¹ show, with strong statistical support, that the 1918 influenza virus is found within clades containing human

Figure 1 Neighbourjoining analysis of the PB1 subunit of the influenza virus A polymerase heterotrimer and of the peptide PB1-F2. a, b, Amino-acid alignments for **a**, PB1, and **b**, PB1-F2 (incomplete sequences omitted), taken from Supplementary Figure 2a, b of Taubenberger et al.1. Data were analysed using MEGA 3.1 software7; bootstrap values represent 100 replications. Sample names are given in ref. 1. The 1918 and avian viruses are shown in red and blue text, respectively.

and classical swine influenza viruses and is not basal to those clades. Moreover, the relationship of the 1918 strain to avian strains (rather than to equine or other mammalian strains) is unresolved because the trees are unrooted.

The phylogenies described by Taubenberger *et al.*¹ contradict their main conclusions and are presented without discussion of the evolutionary relationships they imply. Instead, evolutionary conclusions are improperly drawn from a similarity between the 1918 and avian influenza viruses in the patterns of basepair substitution (that is, the synonymous/non-synonymous and transition/transversion ratios, and variation in fourfold-degenerate



sites) and without consideration of the relative similarity of the 1918 flu in these traits to other mammalian strains. These are inappropriate characters from which to infer similarity by descent, and the data from the DNA sequences should not be discounted as they are superior indicators of phylogenetic relatedness.

Taubenberger *et al.*¹ also claim that the amino-acid sequences encoded by these RNA-polymerase genes support the avian nature of the 1918 virus. We reconstructed phylogenies using their amino-acid sequences¹ and found that the 1918 virus falls within, and not basal to, clades containing strains from other mammalian hosts (Fig. 1). Sequences from the nucleoprotein gene of the influenza virus also fail to provide evidence that the 1918 strain is derived directly from an avian source⁵.

In support of their conclusions, Taubenberger *et al.*¹ cite prior phylogenetic studies⁶ indicating that the 1918 flu may have been derived from an avian source. However, those results simply show that the 1918 flu is phylogenetically unresolved and genetically equidistant from the North American and Asian clades of avian flu, which does not indicate emergence from an avian source.

By stating that the high pathogenicity of the 1918 virus is related to its emergence as a human-adapted avian influenza virus, the authors raise the possibility that an emerging avian strain could resemble the 1918 flu. This alarming implication, which is based on misinterpretation of the phylogenetic data, is completely unjustified and could seriously distort the public perception of disease risk, with grave economic and social consequences. Janis Antonovics, Michael E. Hood, Christi Howell Baker

Department of Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA e-mail:ja8n@virginia.edu

1. Taubenberger, J. K. et al. Nature 437, 889-893 (2005).

- 2. Belshe, R. B. N. Engl. J. Med. 353, 2209-2211 (2005).
- 3. Russell, C. J. & Webster, R. G. Cell 123, 368-371 (2005).
- 4. Sharp, P. A. Science **310**, 17 (2005).
- Reid, A. H., Fanning, T. G., Janczewski, T. A., Lourens, R. M. & Taubenberger, J. K. J. Virol. **78**, 12462-12470 (2004).
 Reid, A. H., Taubenberger, J. K. & Fanning, T. G. Nature Rev.
- Microbiol. **2**, 909-914 (2004).
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K. & Nei, M. Brief. Bioinformat. 5, 150–163 (2004).

doi:10.1038/nature04824